	Average Daily Attendance Rate									
	97	98	99	00	01	02				
G9	92.5%	92.2%	91.5%	91.0%	91.6%	93.8%				
G10	93.5%	93.4%	94.5%	92.7%	94.6%	94.2%				
G11	92.1%	93.8%	93.5%	94.0%	94.6%	95.1%				
G12	92.6%	93.7%	93.7%	94.3%	94.2%	95.0%				
Tot	92.7%	93.2%	93.2%	92.9%	93.6%	94.5%				

The above chart shows the average daily attendance rates (ADR) at CHS from 1997 – 2002. To calculate the ADR, we need to know the Average Daily Membership (ADM) and Average Daily Attendance (ADA).

ADM = average number of students over the 180 day school year who are enrolled in a specific grade level at CHS ADA = average number of enrolled students who are in attendance throughout the year ADR = ADA / ADM = Percent of the total number of students who actually attend school on any given day

We can see from the chart that the average daily attendance rate has steadily improved from under 93% in 1997 to 94.5% in 2002. This corresponds to around 68 students missing class each day. The annual attendance rate at CHS has decreased only once since the 1996-97 school year.

We can also see that the 9<sup>th</sup> grade class almost always has the lowest attendance rate at CHS. I graphed the 9<sup>th</sup> grade attendance rates (in red) versus the students in grades 10-12 (blue) below. You can see that the gap between 9<sup>th</sup> grade students and students in grades 10-12 has generally increased since 1997. It looks as though the gap will be cut sharply this year, most likely due to the closed campus for 9<sup>th</sup> graders.

I also added a gray line representing the attendance rate for the previous year's 8<sup>th</sup> graders. This may give us an idea of what we should expect from 9<sup>th</sup> grade students in terms of attendance rates. You can see that the attendance rate from 8<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> grade drops for each class of students.



Average daily attendance rates give us a good measure of building-wide attendance, but they aren't particularly useful at the student level. If we wish to concentrate on students rather than the building as a whole, we must look at the average number of days missed by students each year. This chart shows us the average number of days absent for students at CHS.

_	Avg. Days Absent								
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002*		
G9	14.67	12.12	14.09	13.38	16.14	13.57	9.22		
G10	14.60	10.61	10.86	9.28	12.66	9.11	8.92		
G11	14.45	12.43	10.70	11.03	10.81	9.51	7.22		
G12	15.30	11.34	11.12	10.82	9.31	9.85	7.58		
Total	14.73	11.62	11.80	11.30	12.46	10.73	8.31		
* 2	* 2002 Data is based on 162 Possible Days (Until 5/7/02)								

The information in these tables once again indicates that attendance has generally improved at CHS since 1996. Five years ago, students missed an average of almost 15 days of school. This has decreased to just under 11 days missed in 2001.

These numbers might seem to be too high. Surely the average student at CHS isn't missing over 10 days each year. In statistics, there are several methods of calculating an "average." The data in the previous table are calculated using means, which are impacted by outliers. A small number of students missing a large number of days in a year (like the students who miss more than 60 days of school) have a significant impact on the mean number of days missed by the total student population. To get rid of the impact of the outliers, we must calculate a median instead of a mean.

	Median Days Absent								
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002*		
G9	8.52	5.14	4.39	6.32	5.36	5.89	4.48		
G10	10.05	5.12	4.52	4.12	4.64	3.84	4.82		
G11	9.38	7.66	4.12	4.12	4.12	4.38	3.70		
G12	11.48	6.45	5.12	4.51	3.74	4.50	4.12		
Total	9.72	5.98	4.48	4.56	4.38	4.82	4.24		
* 2002 Data is based on 162 Possible Days (Until 5/7/02)									

The median tells us the number of days missed by 50% of the students. For example, half of all students missed more than 6 days in 1997 (conversely, half of all students missed less than 6 days that year). You will notice that the median number of days absent each year is lower than the mean number of days absent. This is due to the fact that the median eliminated the impact of those outliers who missed a large number of days in a given year. We can use the medians to generalize the statement: The average student at CHS now misses between 4-5 days of school in a year.



The graph on the last page shows the median days missed for 9<sup>th</sup> grade students and those students in grades 10-12. This shows us that the average student at CHS misses about the same number of days, regardless of grade level. So why is the 9<sup>th</sup> grade average daily attendance rate so much lower than the other grade levels? The 9<sup>th</sup> grade tends to have more outliers than the other grade levels (more students who miss an unusually large number of days each year). This lowers their overall attendance rate, while not impacting the median number of days missed each year.

Using "Average Days Missed" rather than "Average Daily Attendance Rates" is encouraged for another reason – we can break the data down and look at specific student subgroups over a particular period of time. For example, looking over the data broken down by semester, it appears as though students miss more days in the second semester. Here is a chart showing the average number of days missed in 2001-2002 by semester.

	1 <sup>st</sup> Semester	2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester
	89 Days	73 Days
G9	4.18	4.39
G10	4.11	4.19
G11	3.01	3.51
G12	3.85	3.56
Total	3.82	3.95

Even though we have only completed 72 days of school in the second semester, we already see students have missed more days than they did in the first semester. We also see that seniors miss fewer days during the second semester than they do during the first semester. Looking back over a couple years of attendance data broken down by semester, we see the same basic trends. This increase in average days missed during the second semester may be caused by a variety of factors

We can also use the average number of days missed to examine differences among student subgroups (socioeconomic status, special education status, race, gender, At Risk status). The following tables show the average number of days missed for each subgroup (along with the number of students in each subgroup) from 1998 – 2002. The numbers represent the mean days missed, so they are affected by outliers.

		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	EDM	24.09	24.44	28.06	22.94	13.38
		80	110	112	94	118
	NoEDM	11.55	10.17	12.07	10.83	7.46
	NOFRM	345	373	319	332	279
	IED	31.93	24.77	33.26	23.94	15.31
	ILF	55	94	78	82	79
	NoIED	11.23	10.68	12.46	11.01	7.70
	NULF	370	389	353	344	318
	Fomalo	14.16	13.45	15.76	12.26	8.47
69	Ternale	214	233	218	212	184
	Mala	13.67	13.39	16.71	14.73	9.86
	Maie	211	250	213	214	213
	AtRsk	16.50	15.78	23.71	23.03	14.12
05		66	28	40	69	112
	NoRsk	13.44	13.28	15.46	11.66	7.29
		359	455	391	357	285
	AmInd	43.44	18.17	13.96	9.97	0.00
	Aminu	1	4	2	2	0
	Asian	5.89	2.29	4.35	3.65	3.91
	Asian	6	4	7	5	5
	Black	17.36	21.70	25.57	26.57	9.49
	DIACK	21	24	23	20	25
	White	13.68	13.02	16.15	13.08	9.31
	White	395	442	388	393	362
	Hisnanic	33.07	14.03	7.24	7.14	6.44
	hispanic	2	9	11	6	5

		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	FDM	17.20	16.97	21.99	15.63	14.92
		76	78	77	63	63
	NoFRM	9.47	8.58	11.18	8.45	7.43
		353	327	320	312	252
	IED	19.16	21.20	22.46	19.15	14.40
	121	62	52	66	61	57
	NoIFP	9.43	8.57	11.44	7.81	7.71
	NOLL	367	353	331	314	258
	Fomolo	10.65	10.22	13.80	9.15	8.72
	T CITIAIC	215	208	198	194	158
	Male	11.02	10.17	12.75	10.19	9.13
		214	197	199	181	157
210	AtRsk	10.71	15.13	17.70	31.80	14.65
010		62	17	18	1	50
	NoRsk	10.86	9.98	13.06	9.59	7.84
		367	388	379	374	265
	∆mInd	9.73	0.00	18.58	8.64	7.02
	Amina	2	0	2	2	1
	Δsian	7.23	7.94	1.77	3.19	2.92
	Asian	6	7	4	6	5
	Black	23.04	20.78	18.48	18.73	11.26
	Didek	22	22	18	22	11
	White	10.19	9.43	13.12	9.32	8.99
	White	391	372	364	336	292
	Hispanic	11.74	27.24	12.80	4.32	6.51
	пізрапіс	8	4	9	9	6

	-	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002				1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	FRM	15.68	19.69	17.70	17.62	13.93			FRM	14.65	18.67	15.86	13.42	12.46
	1 1/1/1	42	64	51	49	50				31	44	44	33	49
	NoEDM	10.38	10.35	10.52	8.41	5.89			NoEDM	10.81	9.57	9.69	9.32	6.66
		319	305	313	314	252				262	267	330	308	261
	IED	27.73	21.74	20.66	19.18	14.57			IED	25.45	32.93	16.86	20.35	13.52
	121	41	57	50	52	46			121	30	33	56	45	49
	NoIED	8.85	10.19	10.07	8.06	5.90			NoIED	9.59	8.24	9.29	8.10	6.46
	NULF	320	312	314	311	256			NULF	263	278	318	296	261
	Female	9.57	12.97	12.41	8.91	6.55			Female	11.11	9.82	11.72	9.59	6.91
	Ternale	184	186	188	190	157			Ternale	146	155	188	174	153
	Male	12.48	10.96	10.57	10.47	7.95		G12 A	Male	11.32	11.89	9.10	9.85	8.23
	Male	177	183	176	173	145			Maic	147	156	186	167	157
G11	A+Rek	13.76	13.76	0.00	0.00	15.26			A+Rek	12.11	12.11	0.00	0.00	13.39
<u> </u>	AUNSK	38	38	0	0	30				27	27	0	0	22
	NoRek	10.67	11.77	11.52	9.65	6.33			NoRsk	11.12	10.74	10.42	9.72	7.13
	NUINSK	323	331	364	363	272				266	284	374	341	288
	Amind	0.00	32.99	0.00	13.38	0.00			Amind	39.58	0.00	41.72	0.00	8.36
	Aminu	0	2	0	2	0				1	0	2	0	1
	Acian	11.36	7.66	8.21	2.51	4.88			Acian	6.67	4.19	13.72	9.79	2.58
	Asian	5	6	6	4	6			Asian	6	3	6	7	4
	Black	21.46	16.69	20.47	19.26	14.09			Black	16.01	15.46	17.36	17.60	12.98
	DIACK	17	14	17	18	18			DIACK	12	11	13	17	16
	W/bito	10.32	11.80	11.02	9.21	6.87			W/bito	10.91	10.66	9.97	9.24	7.32
	WHILE	336	343	339	331	269			white	270	293	348	315	280
	Hispanic	26.90	6.23	30.48	8.87	5.60			Hispanic	17.46	17.65	7.59	18.18	8.25
	Fispanic	3	4	2	8	9			Hispanic	4	4	5	2	9

		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	EDM	18.98	20.58	22.66	18.61	13.66
		229	296	284	239	280
	NoEDM	10.53	9.68	10.86	9.27	6.87
	NOFRM	1279	1272	1282	1266	1044
	IED	25.77	24.39	24.22	21.02	14.56
	ILF	188	236	250	240	231
	NoIED	9.83	9.50	10.87	8.81	6.99
	NULF	1320	1332	1316	1265	1093
	Fomalo	11.46	11.76	13.52	10.05	7.70
	remale	759	782	792	770	652
	Male	12.17	11.72	12.47	11.50	8.89
		749	786	774	735	672
All Crades	AtRsk	13.49	14.08	21.84	23.15	14.33
All Glaues		193	110	58	70	214
	NoRsk	11.57	11.56	12.66	10.15	7.15
		1315	1458	1508	1435	1110
	ام ما مع	25.62	23.11	24.75	10.66	7.69
	Aminu	4	6	6	6	2
	Acian	7.63	6.16	7.35	5.27	3.69
	Asian	23	20	23	22	20
	Black	19.84	19.46	21.05	20.64	11.75
	DIACK	72	71	71	77	70
	White	11.35	11.33	12.68	10.35	8.22
	white	1392	1450	1439	1375	1203
	Hisponic	18.27	15.75	10.88	7.56	6.75
	нізрапіс	17	21	27	25	29

Those tables present a lot of information, but they are hard to read. We need a chart to display the information we are really interested in. It seems to me that we are interested in making sure one subgroup of students is not missing more days of school each year than another subgroup of students. The following chart shows the "attendance gap" between groups of students based on their race, economic status, special education status, and At-Risk status.



We can see that the attendance gaps among the subgroups have been decreasing. In fact, the gap between special education students and non-special education students has been cut in half since 1998. We also see that the difference in days missed among racial subgroups is almost nonexistent.

For comparison, here is that same graph for the middle schools. You can see the middle schools have a big racial attendance gap, while having smaller differences for the other student subgroups.



The following pages show the distribution of days missed by students from 1995 - 2002. Here is a sample to explain how to read the tables.



Since CHS is moving to block scheduling next year, I thought we should develop a new set of data to use as a baseline comparison for attendance. I created this baseline data by combining the information from the 1995 - 2002 distribution charts.

The following page shows the baseline number of days missed and percentile ranks we may use as a comparison in the future. I have condensed the information into the following chart:

Baseline Days Missed & Percentile Ranks									
Abs	%ile Rank	Abs	%ile Rank	Abs	%ile Rank				
0	93	23	14	46	4				
1	82	24	14	47	4				
2	71	25	13	48	4				
3	61	26	12	49	3				
4	53	27	11	50	3				
5	48	28	11	51	3				
6	43	29	10	52	3				
7	40	30	9	53	3				
8	37	31	9	54	3				
9	35	32	8	55	2				
10	32	33	8	56	2				
11	30	34	8	57	2				
12	28	35	7	58	2				
13	27	36	7	59	2				
14	25	37	6	60	2				
15	23	38	6	61	2				
16	22	39	6	62	2				
17	21	40	5	63	2				
18	20	41	5	64	2				
19	18	42	5	65	2				
20	17	43	5	66	2				
21	16	44	4	67	1				
22	16	45	4						

We can now use this list of percentile ranks exactly like we use percentile ranks from the ITBS. If we assume the previous 5 years are a good measure of historical attendance at CHS (i.e. they aren't unusual in any way), this chart shows us what attendance we should expect from high school students. For example, we expect 93% of students to miss at least one day of school each year. We also expect about half our students to miss more than 5 days each year. These percentile ranks can give us a good set of goals for improving attendance in the near future.

Examples of goals we may set, using this baseline data:

- 1) Decrease the percent of students missing more than 2 days of school from 71% to 75%
- 2) Increase the percent of students missing 5 days or less from 52% to 60%
- 3) Have 1% of students miss 50 days of school or more, instead of the 3% currently.

Using percentile ranks, we don't have to concentrate on the students with the worst attendance or the "average" student. We can set goals and track progress anywhere along the distribution.

Instead of creating a separate table or chart for each possible combination of student subgroup, I've created one pivot table that displays just about every piece of attendance data you could want. Pivot tables allow you to select a group of students based on gender, race, economic status, special education status, and At-Risk status. You can then see the average number of days missed by the students in that subgroup.

Unfortunately, it is just about impossible to show the power of a pivot table on paper. I have printed out some output from my pivot tables on the next several pages. These pages will give you an idea about how pivot tables work.

You will be receiving a couple Microsoft Excel files from me containing these pivot tables. They allow you to compare attendance data from 1996 - 2002 for student subgroups.