


#### COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES – INCOMING UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT PROFILE










Demographics - CAS, SAU, National Avg.


#### Academic Preparation






#### 2012 Freshmen ACT Distributions





Science


...but our students still have a wide range ...... Only 4% of ACT institutions have of academic backgrounds





Hometowns of declared CAS majors

### freshmen with higher GPAs



# SAU Student Engagement


2001-12 NSSE Results Response rates: 30%-38% Margin of error +/- 4%

#### COMPONENT #1:

HOW ST. AMBROSE DEPLOYS ITS RESOURCES AND ORGANIZES LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES TO GET STUDENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN ACTIVITIES LINKED TO STUDENT LEARNING

## 90% of freshmen 83% of seniors

rate the quality of academic advising as either good or excellent



believe SAU emphasizes spending significant amounts of time studying and on academic work

#### Freshmen who plan to...

- 89% -- complete internship or clinical experience
- 87% -- community service or volunteer work
- 62% -- participate in a learning community
- 49% -- study abroad
- 33% -- work on research with faculty outside of class



experience at SAU as good or excellent

#### COMPONENT #2:

THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND EFFORT STUDENTS PUT INTO THEIR STUDIES AND OTHER EDUCATIONALLY PURPOSEFUL ACTIVITIES

69% of freshmen often asked questions 82% of seniors

in class or contributed to class discussions.

17% often come to class without completing assignments 55% often worked very hard to meet expectations

65% spend 10+ hrs/week preparing for class

48% spend 10+ hrs/week relaxing





definitely choose SAU again, if they could start over again

#### BENCHMARKS OF EFFECTIVE EDUCATIONAL PRACTICE -- ST. AMBROSE VS. TOP 50%




#### NSSE RESULTS BY MAJOR GROUP (2012)






#### Enriching Educational Experiences - Freshmen-Seniors



1st Year

Seniors



#### **COLLEGIATE LEARNING ASSESSMENT RESULTS**


The CLA presents realistic problems that require students to analyze complex materials and determine the relevance to the task and credibility. Students' written responses to the tasks are evaluated to assess their abilities to think critically, reason analytically, solve problems and communicate clearly and cogently. http://www.collegiatelearningassessment.org

Collegiate Learning Assessment	Compared to expectations based on their ACT scores, 95 SAU seniors <sup>(1)</sup> performed:	Value-added percentile rank <sup>(3)</sup>
Total CLA Score	Below expectations <sup>(2)</sup>	12
Performance Task	Near expectations	19
Analytic Writing Task	Below expectations	7
Make-an-argument	Below expectations	13
Critique-an-argument	Below expectations	5

(1) 95 seniors: 48% transfer students, 57% female, 30% STEM majors, 30% education majors, 25% Humanities majors, 15% Business majors

(2) "Below expectations" suggests the gain in critical thinking skills was lower than what would be typically observed at schools testing students of similar entering academic ability

(3) The percentage of 161 schools that SAU outperformed (in terms of increasing performance beyond what would be expected based on ACT scores)



#### Analytic Reasoning Problem Writing Writing & Evaluation Effectiveness **Mechanics** Solving SAU 2.9 SAU 2.9 SAU 3.3 SAU 2.8 Performance Task 3.4 3.5 3.7 3.3 2 3 3.2 3.4 SAU 3.1 SAU SAU Make-an-argument 3.6 3.7 3.8 161 schools 2.8 SAU SAU 3.0 SAU 3.5 Critique-an-argument 3.4 3.5 3.9 2 3 5 6 2 3 6 2 3 4 5 5 6

#### Average CLA Subscores