

Chapter 16: Introduction to Bayesian Methods of Inference

16.1 Refer to Table 16.1.

- a. $\beta(10, 30)$
- b. $n = 25$
- c. $\beta(10, 30), n = 25$
- d. Yes
- e. Posterior for the $\beta(1, 3)$ prior.

16.2 a.-d. Refer to Section 16.2

16.3 a.-e. Applet exercise, so answers vary.

16.4 a.-d. Applet exercise, so answers vary.

16.5 It should take more trials with a beta(10, 30) prior.

16.6 Here, $L(y | p) = p(y | p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}$, where $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $0 < p < 1$. So,

$$f(y, p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

so that

$$m(y) = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1} dp = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha)\Gamma(n - y + \beta)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)}.$$

The posterior density of p is then

$$g^*(p | y) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(y + \alpha)\Gamma(n - y + \beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1}, 0 < p < 1.$$

This is the identical beta density as in Example 16.1 (recall that the sum of n i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables is binomial with n trials and success probability p).

16.7 a. The Bayes estimator is the mean of the posterior distribution, so with a beta posterior with $\alpha = y + 1$ and $\beta = n - y + 3$ in the prior, the posterior mean is

$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{Y+1}{n+4} = \frac{Y}{n+4} + \frac{1}{n+4}.$$

$$\text{b. } E(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{E(Y)+1}{n+4} = \frac{np+1}{n+4} \neq p, V(\hat{p}) = \frac{V(Y)}{(n+4)^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+4)^2}$$

16.8 a. From Ex. 16.6, the Bayes estimator for p is $\hat{p}_B = E(p | Y) = \frac{Y+1}{n+2}$.

b. This is the uniform distribution in the interval $(0, 1)$.

c. We know that $\hat{p} = Y/n$ is an unbiased estimator for p . However, for the Bayes estimator,

$$E(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{E(Y)+1}{n+2} = \frac{np+1}{n+2} \text{ and } V(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{V(Y)}{(n+2)^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+2)^2}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } MSE(\hat{p}_B) = V(\hat{p}_B) + [B(\hat{p}_B)]^2 = \frac{np(1-p)}{(n+2)^2} + \left(\frac{np+1}{n+2} - p \right)^2 = \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2}.$$

d. For the unbiased estimator \hat{p} , $MSE(\hat{p}) = V(\hat{p}) = p(1-p)/n$. So, holding n fixed, we must determine the values of p such that

$$\frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} < \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

The range of values of p where this is satisfied is solved in Ex. 8.17(c).

16.9 a. Here, $L(y | p) = p(y | p) = (1-p)^{y-1} p$, where $y = 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < p < 1$. So,

$$f(y, p) = (1-p)^{y-1} p \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

so that

$$m(y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\beta+y-2} dp = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(y+\beta-1)}{\Gamma(y+\alpha+\beta)}.$$

The posterior density of p is then

$$g^*(p | y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+y)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+y-1)} p^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\beta+y-2}, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

This is a beta density with shape parameters $\alpha^* = \alpha + 1$ and $\beta^* = \beta + y - 1$.

b. The Bayes estimators are

$$(1) \quad \hat{p}_B = E(p | Y) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+Y},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad [p(1-p)]_B &= E(p | Y) - E(p^2 | Y) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+Y} - \frac{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+Y+1)(\alpha+\beta+Y)} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+1)(\beta+Y-1)}{(\alpha+\beta+Y+1)(\alpha+\beta+Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second expectation was solved using the result from Ex. 4.200. (Alternately,

the answer could be found by solving $E[p(1-p) | Y] = \int_0^1 p(1-p) g^*(p | Y) dp$.

16.10 a. The joint density of the random sample and θ is given by the product of the marginal densities multiplied by the gamma prior:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_n, \theta) &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp(-\theta y_i) \right] \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\theta/\beta) \\ &= \frac{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \theta/\beta\right) = \frac{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \exp\left(-\theta / \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

b. $m(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \theta^{n+\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\theta / \frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}\right) d\theta$, but this integral resembles

that of a gamma density with shape parameter $n + \alpha$ and scale parameter $\frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1}$.

Thus, the solution is $m(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \Gamma(n + \alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1} \right)^{n+\alpha}$.

c. The solution follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

d. Using the result in Ex. 4.111,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_B = E(\mu | \mathbf{Y}) = E(1/\theta | \mathbf{Y}) &= \frac{1}{\beta^* (\alpha^* - 1)} = \left[\frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + 1} (n + \alpha - 1) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + 1}{\beta(n + \alpha - 1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n + \alpha - 1} + \frac{1}{\beta(n + \alpha - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

e. The prior mean for $1/\theta$ is $E(1/\theta) = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)}$ (again by Ex. 4.111). Thus, $\hat{\mu}_B$ can be written as

$$\hat{\mu}_B = \bar{Y} \left(\frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right),$$

which is a weighted average of the MLE and the prior mean.

f. We know that \bar{Y} is unbiased; thus $E(\bar{Y}) = \mu = 1/\theta$. Therefore,

$$E(\hat{\mu}_B) = E(\bar{Y}) \left(\frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{n + \alpha - 1} \right).$$

Therefore, $\hat{\mu}_B$ is biased. However, it is asymptotically unbiased since

$$E(\hat{\mu}_B) - 1/\theta \rightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$V(\hat{\mu}_B) = V(\bar{Y}) \left(\frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \left(\frac{n}{n + \alpha - 1} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \frac{n}{(n + \alpha - 1)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

So, $\hat{\mu}_B \xrightarrow{p} 1/\theta$ and thus it is consistent.

16.11 a. The joint density of U and λ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, \lambda) &= p(u | \lambda) g(\lambda) = \frac{(n\lambda)^u \exp(-n\lambda)}{u!} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\lambda/\beta) \\ &= \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp(-n\lambda - \lambda/\beta) \\ &= \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-\lambda / \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

b. $m(u) = \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{u+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-\lambda / \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)\right] d\lambda$, but this integral resembles that of a gamma density with shape parameter $u + \alpha$ and scale parameter $\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}$. Thus, the

solution is $m(u) = \frac{n^u}{u! \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \Gamma(u + \alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)^{u+\alpha}$.

c. The result follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

d. $\hat{\lambda}_B = E(\lambda | U) = \alpha^* \beta^* = (U + \alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right).$

e. The prior mean for λ is $E(\lambda) = \alpha\beta$. From the above,

$$\hat{\lambda}_B = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + \alpha \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) = \bar{Y} \left(\frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left(\frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right),$$

which is a weighted average of the MLE and the prior mean.

f. We know that \bar{Y} is unbiased; thus $E(\bar{Y}) = \lambda$. Therefore,

$$E(\hat{\lambda}_B) = E(\bar{Y}) \left(\frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left(\frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right) = \lambda \left(\frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right) + \alpha\beta \left(\frac{1}{n\beta + 1} \right).$$

So, $\hat{\lambda}_B$ is biased but it is asymptotically unbiased since

$$E(\hat{\lambda}_B) - \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$V(\hat{\lambda}_B) = V(\bar{Y}) \left(\frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{n} \left(\frac{n\beta}{n\beta + 1} \right)^2 = \lambda \frac{n\beta}{(n\beta + 1)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

So, $\hat{\lambda}_B \xrightarrow{p} \lambda$ and thus it is consistent.

16.12 First, it is given that $W = vU = v \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_0)^2$ is chi-square with n degrees of freedom. Then, the density function for U (conditioned on v) is given by

$$f_U(u | v) = v |f_W(uv) = v \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} (uv)^{n/2-1} e^{-uv/2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2} e^{-uv/2}.$$

a. The joint density of U and v is then

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) = f_U(u | v)g(v) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)2^{n/2}} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2} \exp(-uv/2) \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} v^{\alpha-1} \exp(-v/\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp(-uv/2 - v/\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-v/\left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

b. $m(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} u^{n/2-1} \int_0^\infty v^{n/2+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-v/\left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)\right] dv$, but this integral resembles that of a gamma density with shape parameter $n/2 + \alpha$ and scale parameter $\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}$. Thus, the solution is $m(u) = \frac{u^{n/2-1}}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha)2^{n/2}\beta^\alpha} \Gamma(n/2 + \alpha) \left(\frac{2\beta}{u\beta+2}\right)^{n/2+\alpha}$.

c. The result follows from parts (a) and (b) above.

d. Using the result in Ex. 4.111(e),

$$\hat{\sigma}_B^2 = E(\sigma^2 | U) = E(1/v | U) = \frac{1}{\beta^*(\alpha^* - 1)} = \frac{1}{n/2 + \alpha - 1} \left(\frac{U\beta + 2}{2\beta} \right) = \frac{U\beta + 2}{\beta(n + 2\alpha - 2)}.$$

e. The prior mean for $\sigma^2 = 1/v = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)}$. From the above,

$$\hat{\sigma}_B^2 = \frac{U\beta + 2}{\beta(n + 2\alpha - 2)} = \frac{U}{n} \left(\frac{n}{n + 2\alpha - 2} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{n + 2\alpha - 2} \right).$$

16.13 a. (.099, .710)

b. Both probabilities are .025.

c. $P(.099 < p < .710) = .95$.

d.-g. Answers vary.

h. The credible intervals should decrease in width with larger sample sizes.

16.14 a.-b. Answers vary.

16.15 With $y = 4$, $n = 25$, and a $\text{beta}(1, 3)$ prior, the posterior distribution for p is $\text{beta}(5, 24)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval are given by:

```
> qbeta(.025, 5, 24)
[1] 0.06064291
> qbeta(.975, 5, 24)
[1] 0.3266527
```

16.16 With $y = 4$, $n = 25$, and a $\text{beta}(1, 1)$ prior, the posterior distribution for p is $\text{beta}(5, 22)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval are given by:

```
> qbeta(.025, 5, 22)
[1] 0.06554811
> qbeta(.975, 5, 22)
[1] 0.3486788
```

This is a wider interval than what was obtained in Ex. 16.15.

16.17 With $y = 6$ and a $\text{beta}(10, 5)$ prior, the posterior distribution for p is $\text{beta}(11, 10)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 80% credible interval for p are given by:

```
> qbeta(.10, 11, 10)
[1] 0.3847514
> qbeta(.90, 11, 10)
[1] 0.6618291
```

16.18 With $n = 15$, $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 30.27$, and a $\text{gamma}(2.3, 0.4)$ prior, the posterior distribution for θ is $\text{gamma}(17.3, .030516)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 80% credible interval for θ are given by

```
> qgamma(.10, shape=17.3, scale=.0305167)
[1] 0.3731982
> qgamma(.90, shape=17.3, scale=.0305167)
[1] 0.6957321
```

The 80% credible interval for θ is $(.3732, .6957)$. To create a 80% credible interval for $1/\theta$, the end points of the previous interval can be inverted:

$$\begin{aligned} .3732 < \theta < .6957 \\ 1/(\.3732) > 1/\theta > 1/(\.6957) \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/(\.6957) = 1.4374$ and $1/(\.3732) = 2.6795$, the 80% credible interval for $1/\theta$ is $(1.4374, 2.6795)$.

- 16.19** With $n = 25$, $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 174$, and a $\text{gamma}(2, 3)$ prior, the posterior distribution for λ is $\text{gamma}(176, .0394739)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 95% credible interval for λ are given by

```
> qgamma(.025, shape=176, scale=.0394739)
[1] 5.958895
> qgamma(.975, shape=176, scale=.0394739)
[1] 8.010663
```

- 16.20** With $n = 8$, $u = .8579$, and a $\text{gamma}(5, 2)$ prior, the posterior distribution for v is $\text{gamma}(9, 1.0764842)$. Using R, the lower and upper endpoints of the 90% credible interval for v are given by

```
> qgamma(.05, shape=9, scale=1.0764842)
[1] 5.054338
> qgamma(.95, shape=9, scale=1.0764842)
[1] 15.53867
```

The 90% credible interval for v is (5.054, 15.539). Similar to Ex. 16.18, the 90% credible interval for $\sigma^2 = 1/v$ is found by inverting the endpoints of the credible interval for v , given by (.0644, .1979).

- 16.21** From Ex. 6.15, the posterior distribution of p is $\text{beta}(5, 24)$. Now, we can find

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .3)$ by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.3, 5, 24)
[1] 0.9525731
```

Therefore, $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .3) = 1 - .9525731 = .0474269$. Since the probability associated with H_0 is much larger, our decision is to not reject H_0 .

- 16.22** From Ex. 6.16, the posterior distribution of p is $\text{beta}(5, 22)$. We can find

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .3)$ by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.3, 5, 22)
[1] 0.9266975
```

Therefore, $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .3) = 1 - .9266975 = .0733025$. Since the probability associated with H_0 is much larger, our decision is to not reject H_0 .

- 16.23** From Ex. 6.17, the posterior distribution of p is $\text{beta}(11, 10)$. Thus,

$P^*(p \in \Omega_0) = P^*(p < .4)$ is given by (in R):

```
> pbeta(.4, 11, 10)
[1] 0.1275212
```

Therefore, $P^*(p \in \Omega_a) = P^*(p \geq .4) = 1 - .1275212 = .8724788$. Since the probability associated with H_a is much larger, our decision is to reject H_0 .

- 16.24** From Ex. 16.18, the posterior distribution for θ is $\text{gamma}(17.3, .0305)$. To test

$$H_0: \theta > .5 \text{ vs. } H_a: \theta \leq .5,$$

we calculate $P^*(\theta \in \Omega_0) = P^*(\theta > .5)$ as:

```
> 1 - pgamma(.5, shape=17.3, scale=.0305)
[1] 0.5561767
```

Therefore, $P^*(\theta \in \Omega_a) = P^*(\theta \geq .5) = 1 - .5561767 = .4438233$. The probability associated with H_0 is larger (but only marginally so), so our decision is to not reject H_0 .

16.25 From Ex. 16.19, the posterior distribution for λ is $\text{gamma}(176, .0395)$. Thus, $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_0) = P^*(\lambda > 6)$ is found by

```
> 1 - pgamma(6, shape=176, scale=.0395)
[1] 0.9700498
```

Therefore, $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_a) = P^*(\lambda \leq 6) = 1 - .9700498 = .0299502$. Since the probability associated with H_0 is much larger, our decision is to not reject H_0 .

16.26 From Ex. 16.20, the posterior distribution for v is $\text{gamma}(9, 1.0765)$. To test:
 $H_0: v < 10$ vs. $H_a: v \geq 10$,

we calculate $P^*(v \in \Omega_0) = P^*(v < 10)$ as

```
> pgamma(10, 9, 1.0765)
[1] 0.7464786
```

Therefore, $P^*(\lambda \in \Omega_a) = P^*(v \geq 10) = 1 - .7464786 = .2535214$. Since the probability associated with H_0 is larger, our decision is to not reject H_0 .